



राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

मंगलवार, 28 नवम्बर, 2017/07 मार्गशीर्ष, 1939

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

उद्योग विभाग
क-अनुभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171002, 12 अक्तूबर, 2017

संख्या इन्ड-ए(ए) 3-2/2017.—हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, भारत के संविधान के अनुच्छेद 309 के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक सेवा आयोग के परामर्श से, हिमाचल प्रदेश उद्योग विभाग में तहसीलदार, वर्ग-I (राजपत्रित) के पद के लिए इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न उपाबन्ध "क" के अनुसार भर्ती और प्रोन्नति नियम बनाते हैं, अर्थात्:-

1. **संक्षिप्त नाम और प्रारम्भ.**—(1) इन नियमों का संक्षिप्त नाम हिमाचल प्रदेश उद्योग विभाग, तहसीलदार, वर्ग—I (राजपत्रित), भर्ती और प्रोन्नति नियम, 2017 है।

(2) ये नियम राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश में प्रकाशन की तारीख से प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2. **निरसन और व्यावृत्तियां.**—(1) इस विभाग की अधिसूचना संख्या : 5-50/72-एस.आई. (ईस्ट) तारीख 01-11-1973 द्वारा अधिसूचित दी हिमाचल प्रदेश, इण्डस्ट्रीज़ डिपार्टमेंट, क्लास—I एण्ड II (डिवेलपमेंट सैल) सर्विसीज़ (रिक्रूटमेंट, प्रमोशन एण्ड सर्टेन कंडिशन ऑफ सर्विसीज़) रूलज़, 1973 का उस विस्तार तक निरसन किया जाता है, जहां तक ये तहसीलदार, वर्ग—I (राजपत्रित) के पद से संबंधित है।

(2) ऐसे निरसन के होते हुए भी उपरोक्त नियम 2(1) के अधीन इस प्रकार निरसित नियमों के अधीन की गई कोई नियुक्ति, बात या कार्रवाई इन नियमों के अधीन विधिमान्य रूप में की गई समझी जाएगी।

आदेश द्वारा,
हस्ताक्षरित/—
अतिरिक्त मुख्य सचिव (उद्योग)।

उपाबन्ध—'क'

हिमाचल प्रदेश, उद्योग विभाग में तहसीलदार, वर्ग—I, (राजपत्रित) के पद के लिए
भर्ती और प्रोन्नति नियम

1. पद का नाम.—तहसीलदार
2. पद (पदों) की संख्या.—1 (एक)
3. वर्गीकरण.—वर्ग—I (राजपत्रित)
4. वेतनमान.— ₹ 10300-34800+5000 ग्रेड पे
5. "चयन" पद अथवा "अचयन" पद.—लागू नहीं।
6. सीधी भर्ती के लिए आयु.— लागू नहीं।
7. सीधे भर्ती किए जाने वाले व्यक्ति (व्यक्तियों) के लिए अपेक्षित न्यूनतम शैक्षिक और अन्य अर्हताएं:—(क) अनिवार्य अर्हता:—लागू नहीं।

(ख) वांछनीय अर्हता:—लागू नहीं।

8. सीधे भर्ती किए जाने वाले व्यक्ति (व्यक्तियों) के लिए विहित आयु और शैक्षिक अर्हताएं प्रोन्नत व्यक्ति (व्यक्तियों) की दशा में लागू होगी या नहीं:—आयु:—लागू नहीं।

शैक्षिक अर्हता:—लागू नहीं।

9. परीक्षा की अवधि, यदि कोई हो:— लागू नहीं।

10. भर्ती की पद्धति : भर्ती सीधी होगी या प्रोन्नति/सैकेण्डमेंट/स्थानान्तरण द्वारा और विभिन्न पद्धतियों द्वारा भरे जाने वाले पदों की प्रतिशतता:—राजस्व विभाग, हिमाचल प्रदेश से शतप्रतिशत सैकेण्डमेंट आधार पर।

11. प्रोन्नति/सैकेण्डमेंट/स्थानान्तरण द्वारा भर्ती की दशा में, वे श्रेणियां (ग्रेड) जिनसे प्रोन्नति/सैकेण्डमेंट/स्थानान्तरण किया जाएगा:—तहसीलदारों में से सैकेण्डमेंट आधार पर, जिनका कम से कम दो वर्ष का नियमित सेवाकाल या ग्रेड में की गई लगातार तदर्थ सेवा, यदि कोई हो, को सम्मिलित करके दो वर्ष का नियमित सेवाकाल हो।

12. यदि विभागीय प्रोन्नति समिति विद्यमान हो, तो उसकी संरचना:—लागू नहीं।

13. भर्ती करने में, जिन परिस्थितियों में हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक सेवा आयोग से परामर्श किया जाएगा:—जैसा विधि द्वारा अपेक्षित हो।

14. सीधी भर्ती के लिए अनिवार्य अपेक्षा :—लागू नहीं।

15. सीधी भर्ती द्वारा पद पर नियुक्ति के लिए चयन:—लागू नहीं।

16. आरक्षण:—सेवा में नियुक्ति, हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा समय-समय पर अनुसूचित जातियों/अनुसूचित जन जातियों/अन्य पिछड़े वर्गों और व्यक्तियों के अन्य प्रवर्ग के लिए सेवाओं में आरक्षण की बावत जारी किए गए आदेशों के अधीन होगी।

17. विभागीय परीक्षा:—सेवा में प्रत्येक सदस्य को समय-समय पर यथा संशोधित हिमाचल प्रदेश विभागीय परीक्षा नियम, 1997 में यथाविहित विभागीय परीक्षा उत्तीर्ण करनी होगी।

18. शिथिल करने की शक्ति:—जहां राज्य सरकार की यह राय हो कि ऐसा करना आवश्यक या समीचीन है, वहां वह कारणों को लिखित में अभिलिखित करके और हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक सेवा आयोग के परामर्श से, आदेश द्वारा, इन नियमों के किन्हीं उपबन्ध (उपबन्धों) को किसी वर्ग या व्यक्ति (व्यक्तियों) के प्रवर्ग या पद (पदों) की बाबत शिथिल कर सकेगी।

[Authoritative English text of this Department Notification No. Ind.-A(A)3-2/2017 dated: 12-10-2017 as required under Article 348 (3) of the constitution of India].

INDUSTRIES DEPARTMENT

A-Section

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171002, 12th October, 2017

No. Ind.-A(A)3-2/2017.—In exercise of the powers conferred by proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India, the Governor of Himachal Pradesh, in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, is pleased to make the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the post of **Tehsildar, Class-I (Gazetted)** in the Department of Industries, H.P. as per Annexure-“A” attached to this Notification, namely :—

1. Short title and commencement:—(1) These rules may be called the Himachal Pradesh, Department of Industries Tehsildar, Class-I (Gazetted) Recruitment and Promotion Rules, 2017.

(2) These rules shall come into force from the date of publication in the Rajpatra, Himachal Pradesh.

2. Repeal & savings:—(1) The Himachal Pradesh, Industries Department, Class-I and II (Development Cell) Services (Recruitment, Promotion and certain conditions of services) Rules, 1973, notified vide this Departments Notification No.5-50/72-SI(Estt.) dated 01-11-1973 are hereby repealed to the extent these pertain to the post of Tehsildar, Class-II (Gazetted).

(2) Notwithstanding such repeal any appointment made or any thing done or any action taken under the rules so repealed under rule 2 (1) supra shall be deemed to have been validly made or done or taken under these rules.

By order,
Sd/-

Addl. Chief Secretary (Industries).

ANNEXURE-“A”

RECRUITMENT AND PROMOTION RULES FOR THE POST OF TEHSILDAR CLASS-I (GAZETTED) IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIES, HIMACHAL PRADESH

1. **Name of the Post:—**Tehsildar
2. **Number of Post(s):—**01 (One)
3. **Classification:—**Class-I (Gazetted)
4. **Scale of Pay:—**₹ 10300-34800 + ₹ 5000 Grade Pay
5. **Whether "Selection" post or "Non-Selection" post:—**Not Applicable.
6. **Age for direct Recruitment:—**Not Applicable.
7. **Minimum Educational and other qualifications required for direct recruit(s):—**
 - (a) *Essential Qualification:* Not Applicable.
 - (b) *Desirable Qualification:*—Not Applicable.
8. **Whether age and Educational qualification(s) prescribed for direct recruit(s) will apply in the case of the promotee(s):—**(i) *Age :*— Not applicable.
 - (ii) *Educational Qualification:*—Not applicable.
9. **Period of probation, if any:—**Not Applicable.
10. **Method(s) of recruitment, whether by direct recruitment or by promotion/ secondment/transfer and the percentage of post(s) to be filled in by various methods:—**100% on secondment basis from Revenue Department.

11. In case of recruitment by promotion/secondment/transfer, grade(s) from which promotion/secondment/transfer is to be made:—On secondment basis from amongst the Tehsildars having atleast two years regular or regular combined with continuous *ad hoc* service, if any, in the grade.

12. If a Departmental Promotion Committee exists, what is its composition:—Not Applicable.

13. Circumstances under which the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission (HPPSC) is to be consulted in making recruitment:—As required under the Law.

14. Essential requirement for a direct recruitment.—Not Applicable.

15. Selection for appointment to post by direct recruitment:—Not Applicable.

16. Reservation:—The appointment to the service shall be subject to orders regarding reservation in the service for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes/Other Backward Classes /other categories of persons issued by the Himachal Pradesh Government from time to time.

17. Departmental Examination:—Every member of the service shall pass a Departmental Examination as prescribed in the Himachal Pradesh Departmental Examination Rules, 1997, as amended from time to time.

18. Powers to Relax:—Where the State Government is of the opinion that it is necessary or expedient to do so, it may, by order for reasons to be recorded in writing and in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission relax any of the provision(s) of these Rules with respect to any class or category of person(s) or post(s).

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 28th March, 2017

No. 11-2/86(Lab)ID/2017-Bilaspur.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Hari Ram S/O Shri Tulsi Ram, R/O Village Sanour Tikkeri, P.O. Panoh, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, H.P. and (1) The Executive Engineer, I & P.H. Division, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, H.P. (2) M/S SMC SBM Universal (JV), Near Bhud Store, Kandour, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur, H.P. on the issue of termination from services during w.e.f. 13.12.2013.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947)

this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether demand raised vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 10.12.2015 by Shri Hari Ram S/O Shri Tulsi Ram, R/O Village Sanour Tikkeri, P.O. Panoh, Tehsil Ghumarwin, District Bilaspur, H.P. regarding reinstatement in service after submission of resignation dated 13.12.2013 before (1) The Executive Engineer, I & P.H. Division, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, H.P. (2) M/s SMC SBM Universal (JV), Near Bhud Store, Kandrou, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur, H.P. w.e.f. 13.12.2013, is legal & justified? If yes, what relief of past service benefits, seniority, monetary and other consequential service benefits the above mentioned worker is entitled as per demand notice? If not, what its legal effects?”

By order,
Sd/-

Joint Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 16th March, 2017

No. 11-5/99(Lab)ID/2017-Chamba.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Kamal Kishore S/O Shri Bajiru Ram, R/O Village Lanzera, P.O. Awan, Tehsil Bhatiyat, District Chamba, H.P. and (1) The Managing Director, M/s Shakti Hydro Electric Company Pvt. Ltd., Regd. Office D-III, Defense Colony, New Delhi-110024. (2) The Occupier, M/s Shakti Hydro Electric Company Pvt. Ltd., R/O Village Kahri, P.O. Awan, Tehsil Bhatiyat, District Chamba, H.P. on the issue of termination of services during December, 2014.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication: —

“Whether the termination of the services of Shri Kamal Kishore S/O Shri Bajiru Ram, R/O Village Lanzera, P.O. Awan, Tehsil Bhatiyat, District Chamba, H.P. by (1) The Managing Director, M/s Shakti Hydro Electric Company Pvt. Ltd., Regd. Office D-III, Defense Colony, New Delhi-110024. (2) The Occupier, M/s Shakti Hydro Electric Company Pvt. Ltd., R/O Village Kahri, P.O. Awan, Tehsil Bhatiyat, District Chamba, H.P. during December, 2014 without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages,

seniority, past service benefits and amount of compensation the above aggrieved worker is entitled to?"

By order,
Sd/-

Joint Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 28th March, 2017

No. 11-2/86(Lab)ID/2017-Bilaspur.—Government of Himachal Pradesh Department of Labour & Employment Dated, Shimla-171001 28th March, 2017 Notification It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Krishnu Ram S/O Shri Bardu Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Nichli Bhater, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur, H.P. and (1) The Executive Engineer, I &P.H. Division, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, H.P. (2) M/S SMC SBM Universal (JV), Near Bhud Store, Kandrou, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur, H.P. on the issue of termination from services w.e.f. 29.06.2015.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Krishnu Ram S/O Shri Bardu Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Nichli Bhater, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur, H.P. by (1) The Executive Engineer, I &P.H. Division, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, H.P. (2) M/S SMC SBM Universal (JV), Near Bhud Store, Kandrou, Tehsil Sadar, District Bilaspur, H.P. w.e.f. 29.06.2015 without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal & justified? If not, to what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer / management.”
Joint Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh.

By order,
Sd/-

Joint Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 07th April, 2017*

No. 11-1/85(Lab)ID/2017-Kangra.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Kuldeep Singh S/O Shri Milkhi Ram, R/O Village Dadoa, Bari, Tehsil Jaswan, District Kangra, H.P. and The Divisional Forest Officer, Dehra Forest Division, Dehra, District Kangra, H.P. on the issue of termination from services during year, 2013.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication: -

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Kuldeep Singh S/O Shri Milkhi Ram, R/O Village Dadoa, Bari, Tehsil Jaswan, District Kangra, H.P. from time to time during years, 1996 to 2013 and finally terminated during year, 2013 by the Divisional Forest Officer, Dehra Forest Division, Dehra, District Kangra, H.P. without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, past service benefits, seniority, regularization and compensation the above worker is entitled to from the above employer?”

By order,
Sd/-

Joint Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 22th February, 2017*

No. 11-1/18(Lab)ID/2017-Sunder Nagar.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Naveen Kumar S/O Shri Kheema Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Seri-Kothi, Tehsil Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. and the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. on the issue of termination from services w.e.f. 27.07.2009.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication: —

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Naveen Kumar S/O Shri Kheema Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Seri-Kothi, Tehsil Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. by the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 27.07.2009 without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the worker, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and amount of compensation the above aggrieved worker is entitled to?”

By order,
Sd/-

Joint Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 22nd February, 2017

No. 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2017-Una.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Nirmala Devi W/O Lt. Shri Roshan Lal, R/O Village Amjad, P.O. Dohgi, Tehsil Bangana, District Una, H.P. and The Principal S.D. Adarsh Sanskrit College, Dohgi, Tehsil Bangana, District Una, H.P. on the issue of termination of services during July, 2015.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether the termination of the services of Smt. Nirmala Devi W/O Lt. Shri Roshan Lal, R/O Village Amjad, P.O. Dohgi, Tehsil Bangana, District Una, H.P. by the Principal, S.D. Adarsh Sanskrit College, Dohgi, Tehsil Bangana, District Una, H.P. during July, 2015 without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and amount of compensation the above aggrieved worker is entitled to?”

By order,
Sd/-

Joint Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 22nd February, 2017*

No. 11-5/99(Lab)ID/2017-Chamba.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Prem Prakash S/O Shri Jogi Ram, R/O Village Mohanpur, P.O. Kotha, District Chamba, H.P. and the Project Manager, M/s Batot Hydro Power Project Ltd., Working Office Upper Julakhari, C/O Shri Surender Shekhari, Tehsil & District Chamba, H.P. on the issue of termination of services w.e.f. 17.01.2016.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal, Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication: —

“Whether the termination of the services of Shri Prem Prakash S/O Shri Jogi Ram, R/O Village Mohanpur, P.O. Kotha, District Chamba, H.P. by the Project Manager, M/s Batot Hydro Power Project Ltd., Working Office Upper Julakhari, C/O Shri Surender Shekhari, Tehsil & District Chamba, H.P. w.e.f. 17.01.2016 without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and amount of compensation the above aggrieved worker is entitled to from the above Employer / Management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Joint Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 20th March, 2017*

No. 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2017-Una.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between the President/General Secretary, Country Liquor Bottling Plant, Mehatpur, District Una, H.P. and the Managing Director, H.P. G.I.C. Limited, County Liquor Bottling Plant, Plot No.- 5 & 5A, Industrial Area, Mehatpur, District Una, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power

vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication: —

“Whether the demands raised by the President/General Secretary, Country Liquor Bottling Plant, Mehatpur, District Una, H.P. vide demand notice 19.09.2014 (Copy enclosed) before the Managing Director, H.P. G.I.C. Limited, County Liquor Bottling Plant, Plot No.-5 & 5A, Industrial Area, Mehatpur, District Una, H.P., is tenable, legal and justified? If yes, what relief of service benefits the above workmen of the above employees Union entitled as per demand notice? If not, what its legal effects?”

By order,
Sd/-

Joint Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 18th March, 2017

No. 11-23/84(Lab)ID/2017-Una.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between the President/Secretary, Springer Cozy Touch Polyfoam Workers Union, C/O Shri Gurnam Singh, Village Majra, P.O. Sanoli, Tehsil & District Una, H.P. and the General Manager, M/s Springer Mattresses / Cozy Touch Polyfoam Limited, Village Heer Thada (Laluwal), P.O. Bidharwal, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication: —

“Whether the demands raised by the President/Secretary, Springer Cozy Touch Polyfoam Workers Union, C/O Shri Gurnam Singh, Village Majra, P.O. Sanoli, Tehsil & District Una, H.P. vide demand notice 19.08.2015 (Copy enclosed) before the General Manager, M/s Springer Mattresses / Cozy Touch Polyfoam Limited, Village Heer Thada (Laluwal), P.O. Bidharwal, Tehsil Haroli, District Una, H.P., is tenable, legal and justified? If yes, what

relief of past service benefits the above workmen of the above employees Union entitled as per demand notice? If not, what its legal effects?"

By order,
Sd/-

Joint Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 22nd February, 2017

No. 11-5/99(Lab)ID/2017-Chamba.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Ramesh Kumar S/O Shri Dinnu Ram, R/O Village Saroti, P.O. Bhajotra, Sub Tehsil Bhalei, District Chamba, H.P. and the Divisional Forest Officer, Churah Forest Division, Salooni, District Chamba, H.P. on the issue of fifteen days fictional breaks in services during March, 2009 onwards.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication: —

“Whether giving the fifteen days breaks in service to Shri Ramesh Kumar S/O Shri Dinnu Ram, R/O Village Saroti, P.O. Bhajotra, Sub Tehsil Bhalei, District Chamba, H.P. workman during his service period from March, 2009 to onwards by the Divisional Forest Officer, Churah Forest Division, Salooni, District Chamba, H.P. without following/complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, what relief of past service benefits the above workman is entitled to for the above mentioned period from the concerned employer?”

By order,
Sd/-

Joint Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh.

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, तहसील भून्तर,
जिला कुल्लू, हि० प्र०

केस नं० : MT / 2017

तारीख पेशी : 27-11-2017

1. श्री चेत राम पुत्र श्री मनोहर लाल, गांव छिन्जरा, डा० ब्राधा, तहसील भून्तर, जिला कुल्लू, हि० प्र० ।

2. श्रीमती तारादेवी पुत्री श्री गोविन्द राम, गांव छनीनाल, डा० शलवाड़, उप-तहसील सैन्ज, जिला कुल्लू, हि० प्र०

प्रार्थीगण

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रत्यार्थी।

विषय.— प्रार्थना पत्र जेर धारा 5(4) हि0 प्र0 रजिस्ट्रीकरण नियम 2004 विवाह पंजीकरण बारे।

उपरोक्त मामला में प्रार्थीगण उपरोक्त ने दिनांक 25-10-2017 को इस अदालत में प्रार्थना-पत्र मय शपथ पेश किये हैं कि उन्होंने दिनांक 25-10-2008 को शादी कर ली है और तब से दोनों पति पत्नी के रूप में रहते चले आ रहे हैं। परन्तु प्रार्थीगण ने अपनी शादी का इन्द्राज सम्बन्धित पंचायत ब्राधा, तहसील भून्तर, जिला कुल्लू, हि0 प्र0 में दर्ज नहीं करवाया है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण व आम जनता को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि किसी भी व्यक्ति को उपरोक्त प्रार्थीगणों की शादी से सम्बन्धित पंचायत के अभिलेख में दर्ज करने बारे एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-11-2017 को सुबह 10.00 बजे या इससे पूर्व असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर अदालत पेश होकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है। इसके उपरान्त कोई भी एतराज प्राप्त न होने की सूरत में नियमानुसार शादी दर्ज करने के आदेश पारित कर दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 16-11-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी एवं तहसीलदार,
भून्तर, जिला कुल्लू, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, तहसील भून्तर,
जिला कुल्लू, हि0 प्र0

केस नं0 : MT/2017

दिनांक 29-11-2017

1. श्री लुदर चन्द पुत्र श्री हरी राम, गांव लुहारदी, डा0 सचानी, तहसील भून्तर, जिला कुल्लू, हि0 प्र0
2. श्रीमती किरना देवी पुत्री श्री थैलु राम, गांव व डा0 भलाण, तहसील भून्तर, जिला मण्डी, हि0 प्र0
प्रार्थीगण

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रत्यार्थी।

विषय.— प्रार्थना पत्र जेर धारा 5(4) हि0 प्र0 रजिस्ट्रीकरण नियम 2004 विवाह पंजीकरण बारे।

उपरोक्त मामला में प्रार्थीगण उपरोक्त ने दिनांक 25-10-2017 को इस अदालत में प्रार्थना-पत्र मय शपथ पेश किये हैं कि उन्होंने दिनांक 15-06-2017 को शादी कर ली है और तब से दोनों पति पत्नी के रूप में रहते चले आ रहे हैं। परन्तु प्रार्थीगण ने अपनी शादी का इन्द्राज सम्बन्धित पंचायत जरी, तहसील भून्तर, जिला कुल्लू, हि0 प्र0 में दर्ज नहीं करवाया है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण व आम जनता को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि किसी भी व्यक्ति को उपरोक्त प्रार्थीगणों की शादी से सम्बन्धित पंचायत के अभिलेख में दर्ज करने बारे एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-11-2017 को सुबह 10.00 बजे या इससे पूर्व असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर अदालत पेश होकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है। इसके उपरान्त कोई भी एतराज प्राप्त न होने की सूरत में नियमानुसार शादी दर्ज करने के आदेश पारित कर दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 16-11-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी एवं तहसीलदार,
भून्तर, जिला कुल्लू, हि0 प्र0।

**ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, तहसील भून्तर,
जिला कुल्लू, हि0 प्र0**

केस नं0 : MT/2017

तारीख पेशी 29-11-2017

1. श्री कमलेश कुमार पुत्र श्री मोहन लाल, गांव भडोली, डा0 सचानी, तह0 भून्तर, जिला कुल्लू, हि0 प्र0
2. श्रीमती रीना पुत्री श्री राज कुमार, गांव बौहुगणा, डा0 गड़सा, तहसील भून्तर, जिला कुल्लू, हि0 प्र0
प्रार्थीगण।

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रत्यार्थी।

विषय.— प्रार्थना पत्र जेर धारा 5(4) हि0 प्र0 रजिस्ट्रीकरण नियम 2004 विवाह पंजीकरण बारे।

उपरोक्त मामला में प्रार्थीगण उपरोक्त ने दिनांक 27-10-2017 को इस अदालत में प्रार्थना-पत्र मय शपथ पेश किये हैं कि उन्होंने दिनांक 24-09-2014 को शादी कर ली है और तब से दोनों पति पत्नी के रूप में रहते चले आ रहे हैं। परन्तु प्रार्थीगण ने अपनी शादी का इन्द्राज सम्बन्धित पंचायत ब्राधा, तहसील भून्तर, जिला कुल्लू, हि0 प्र0 में दर्ज नहीं करवाया है।

अतः सर्वसाधारण व आम जनता को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि किसी भी व्यक्ति को उपरोक्त प्रार्थीगणों की शादी से सम्बन्धित पंचायत के अभिलेख में दर्ज करने बारे एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 29-11-2017 को सुबह 10.00 बजे या इससे पूर्व असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर अदालत पेश होकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है। इसके उपरान्त कोई भी एतराज प्राप्त न होने की सूरत में नियमानुसार शादी दर्ज करने के आदेश पारित कर दिए जाएंगे।

आज दिनांक 16-11-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी एवं तहसीलदार,
भून्तर, जिला कुल्लू, हि0 प्र0।

CHANGE OF NAME

I No. 145575-B Dinesh Kumar Sharma s/o Nathu Ram Sharma, V.P.O. Sanahi, Teh. Nadaun, Distt. Hamirpur, H.P. declare that in my service record my wife name wrongly entered as Raj Devi *instead of* Raj Kumari.

DINESH KUMAR SHARMA,
s/o Nathu Ram Sharma,
V.P.O. Sanahi, Teh. Nadaun,
Distt. Hamirpur, H.P.

नियन्त्रक, मुद्रण तथा लेखन सामग्री, हिमाचल प्रदेश, शिमला-5 द्वारा मुद्रित तथा प्रकाशित

इलेक्ट्रॉनिक हस्ताक्षरित राजपत्र, वैबसाइट <http://rajpatrahimachal.nic.in> पर उपलब्ध है एवम् ऑनलाइन सत्यापित किया जा सकता है